

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

MONDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

and

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT APPEAL NO : 520 of 1999

(Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the Order dated 25-1-1999 in WP No. 995 of 1999 on the file of the High Court.)

Between:

1. Transmission Corporation of A.P. Ltd., (Formerly known as APSEB) ,
rep. by its Member Secretary, Vidyut Soudha, Somajiguda,
Hyderabad
2. The Superintending Engineer, (Operation), A.P.S.E.B., Kurnool
3. The Divisional Engineer, III Meters, APSEB, Kurnool.

..... APPELLANTS

AND

1. K. Sreedhar
2. J. Prabhakar

.....RESPONDENTS

Counsel for the Appellants :THE ADVOCATE GENERAL

Counsel for the Respondents.: MR.V.VENKATARAMANA

The Court made the following :

JUDGMENT (per TMK,J):-

Aggrieved by the order dated 25-1-1999 in W.P.No. 995 of 1999 passed by a learned single Judge of this Court the present appeal is filed.

The above writ petition was filed seeking a declaration that the action of the respondents in rejecting the benefit of regular appointment to the petitioners on the ground that the contractor who has engaged the petitioners was not having a licence under the provisions of the Contract Labour (Abolition and Regulation) Act, 1970 is arbitrary, illegal and if necessary to declare B.P.Ms.No. 271 dt. 31-12-1997 as unconstitutional, with a further direction to the respondents to appoint the petitioners to appropriate posts viz., LDC, Sub-Engineer and Junior Lineman without disqualifying them on the ground that the contractor under whom they worked did not possess genuine licence.

Following the judgment rendered in W.P.No. 1549 of 1999 dated 25-11-1998, the learned single Judge allowed the above writ petition directing the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners. According to the learned counsel for the respondents herein, the judgment in WP No. 1549 of 1999 has become final. In that view of the matter, we do not find any reason to interfere with the same in the present appeal.

Accordingly, the writ appeal is dismissed. No costs.

(T. MEENA KUMARI, J)

Dt. 21-2-2005

(S. ANANDA REDDY, J)

Kvrk

To

1. The Member Secretary, Transmission Corporation of A.P. Ltd.,
(Formerly known as APSEB) , Vidyut Soudha, Somajiguda, Hyderabad
2. The Superintending Engineer, (Operation), A.P.S.E.B., Kurnool
3. The Divisional Engineer, III Meters, APSEB, Kurnool.
4. Two CCs to the Advocate General, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)
5. Two CD copies.